

Continuous Improvement Backlog Tracker

AI/ML Model Improvement Management System

Quick Start Guide

WORKBOOK OVERVIEW:

This workbook helps you manage continuous improvement for AI/ML models through 5 integrated sheets:

- **Sheet 1: Instructions** - This guide
- **Sheet 2: Backlog Items** - Main tracker for all improvement items
- **Sheet 3: Priority Calculator** - Interactive tool for scoring and prioritizing items
- **Sheet 4: Quarterly Planning** - Plan and track quarterly commitments (coming in Session 2)
- **Sheet 5: Health Metrics** - Monitor overall backlog health (coming in Session 2)

HOW TO USE THIS WORKBOOK:

STEP 1: Add New Improvement Items

- Go to 'Backlog Items' sheet
- Add new row with Item ID (e.g., IMP-001)
- Fill in Title, Description, Type, Owner, etc.
- Use Priority Calculator to determine priority score

STEP 2: Calculate Priority Scores

- Go to 'Priority Calculator' sheet
- Enter scores 1-5 for each of 5 criteria

- Automatic calculation shows total score and recommended priority
- Copy results back to Backlog Items sheet

STEP 3: Manage Your Backlog

- Review backlog monthly (grooming)
- Update Status as work progresses
- Archive completed items or move to separate 'Completed' section
- Track Actual vs. Expected effort and value

STEP 4: Plan Quarterly Work

- Filter by Priority (P1, P2 items)
- Select items for upcoming quarter
- Check total effort vs. team capacity
- Assign target quarters and owners

KEY FEATURES:

- ✓ Automated Priority Scoring - Weighted calculation using 5 criteria
- ✓ Dropdown Validation - Consistent categories and statuses
- ✓ Conditional Formatting - Visual priority and status indicators
- ✓ Formula-Driven - Automatic calculations for scores and dates
- ✓ Flexible Filtering - Sort by priority, type, status, quarter

PRIORITY LEVELS:

- P1 - Critical: Must do this quarter (Score ≥ 4.5)
- P2 - High: Should do if capacity (Score 3.5-4.49)
- P3 - Medium: Plan for next quarter (Score 2.5-3.49)
- P4 - Low: Future backlog (Score < 2.5)

IMPROVEMENT TYPES:

- Performance Enhancement - Accuracy, speed, quality improvements
- New Feature/Capability - New functionality or use cases
- Cost Optimization - Reduce infrastructure or operational costs
- Technical Debt - Code quality, upgrades, refactoring
- Operational Improvement - Monitoring, deployment, processes
- User Experience - Usability, explainability, integration
- Scalability - Handle higher volume or new markets
- Compliance/Governance - Regulatory, ethical, documentation

EFFORT SIZING:

- XS (1-3 days) - Quick wins
- S (1 week) - Small projects
- M (2-4 weeks) - Medium projects
- L (1-2 months) - Large initiatives
- XL (2-4 months) - Major projects
- XXL (4+ months) - Epic initiatives

STATUS VALUES:

- Open - Not yet started
- In Progress - Active work ongoing
- Blocked - Cannot proceed (document blocker)
- Complete - Finished with outcomes documented
- Deferred - Deprioritized, not planned
- Cancelled - No longer relevant

BEST PRACTICES:

1. Add all improvement ideas immediately - don't self-filter
2. Groom backlog monthly - update priorities and status
3. Use Priority Calculator consistently - objective scoring
4. Balance workload - 70% features, 20% tech debt, 10% innovation
5. Document outcomes - capture actual vs. expected results
6. Keep backlog fresh - archive items >6 months old not prioritized
7. Link to sources - reference performance reviews, A/B tests, incidents
8. Track velocity - monitor items completed per quarter
9. Celebrate wins - share completed items and value delivered
10. Review quarterly - align backlog with updated OKRs and strategy

COMMON PITFALLS TO AVOID:

- ✗ Everything marked P1 (use scoring to prioritize ruthlessly)
- ✗ Backlog grows indefinitely (archive stale items)
- ✗ No outcome documentation (capture learnings)
- ✗ Ignoring technical debt (allocate 20% capacity)
- ✗ Optimistic estimates (add 20-30% buffer)
- ✗ Bypassing backlog for urgent items (maintain as source of truth)

SUPPORT & CUSTOMIZATION:

- Customize Types/Categories for your organization
- Add custom fields as needed (budget codes, teams, etc.)
- Adjust priority scoring weights based on your priorities
- Create views/filters for different stakeholder needs
- Export data for presentations or executive reports

VERSION INFORMATION:

- Template Version: 1.0

- **Created: January 2026**
- **Based on: BABOK, MLOps, Agile best practices**

 TIP: Start small (10-15 items), grow over time as team adopts practice.

 TIP: Use this as living document - update weekly, review monthly, plan quarterly.

Item ID	Title	Description	Type	Priority	Priority Score	Status	Estimated Effort	Expected Value (\$)	Owner	Target Quarter	Date Added	Last Updated	Source	Dependencies	Acceptance Criteria	Actual Effort	Actual Value (\$)	Notes
IMP-001	Add behavioral velocity features to fraud model	Add transaction velocity features (transactions per hour, spending velocity, account age at first transaction) to capture behavioral fraud patterns. Analysis shows 15% of initial frauds exhibit high-velocity patterns these features would capture.	Performance Enhancement	P2	4.10	Open	S (1 week)	\$150,000	Maria Rodriguez	Q2 2024	2024-03-10	2024-03-20	Performance Review	Blocks: IMP-015 (Real-time velocity scoring)	1. Five velocity features implemented (tx_per_hour, spend_velocity, account_age_at_tx, days_since_last_tx, tx_per_24h) 2. A/B test shows ~5% recall improvement (p<0.05) 3. Precision maintained (~no significant decrease) 4. Alert volume increase <10%			Feature engineering documented. Fraud team strongly supports. Targeting April completion.
IMP-002	Apply INT8 quantization to reduce latency	Apply INT8 quantization to fraud detection model to reduce inference latency from 120ms P95 to target <50ms. Analysis shows 100ms latency reduction translates to 2% conversion improvement, worth \$200K/year.	Performance Enhancement	P3	3.25	Open	M (2 weeks)	\$200,000	Alex Kim	Q3 2024	2024-04-01	2024-04-05	User Feedback		1. INT8 quantized model validated to production 2. Avg latency <50ms (validated over 1 week) 3. Accuracy degradation <1% (F1 score within 1% of FP32) 4. A/B test validates no negative fraud detection impact			Preliminary testing shows INT8 maintains 99.2% of FP32 accuracy. Product team strongly interested.
IMP-003	Build automated retraining pipeline	Automate model retraining to reduce cycle time from 2 weeks to 2 days and eliminate manual steps. Currently data extraction, feature engineering, training, validation, deployment all require manual ML engineer intervention (10 hours/month).	Operational Improvement	P1	3.55	In Progress	L (6 weeks)	\$48,000	Sarah Chen	Q1-Q2 2024	2024-01-15	2024-03-15	Technical Debt	Blocked by: None Blocks: IMP-020 (Multi-model platform)	1. Automated pipeline executes weekly retraining 2. Human approval only for production deployment 3. Comprehensive validation checks before deployment 4. Execution time <48 hours trigger to approval 5. Error handling and notifications implemented			Started Q1. Data pipeline complete (2 weeks). Training automation 80% complete. Infrastructure team approved deployment automation. On track for Q2.
IMP-004	Implement SHAP explanations for analysts	Add SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) showing top 5 contributing features in fraud analyst UI. Currently analysts only see risk score, making triage difficult. Explanations expected to reduce manual review time 20%.	User Experience	P2	3.10	Open	M (3 weeks)	\$75,000	Unassigned	Q3 2024	2024-03-20	2024-03-22	User Feedback	Requires: UI team support (2-3 days)	1. SHAP explanations generated for all predictions 2. Top 5 contributing features displayed in UI 3. Explanation latency <100ms (no workflow slowdown) 4. Analyst testing validates usefulness 5. SHAP UI validation >95% interpretation			Fraud team very interested, willing to participate in testing. SHAP validated on test data, performance acceptable.
IMP-005	Upgrade TensorFlow from 2.8 to 2.15	Upgrade TensorFlow from 2.8 (Feb 2022, now 2 years old, unsupported) to 2.15 (latest stable). Provides security patches, performance improvements (~10% inference speed), bug fixes, and maintains supported software compliance.	Technical Debt	P2	3.05	Open	S (1 week)	\$0	Alex Kim	Q2 2024	2024-03-25	2024-03-26	Technical Debt	Enables: IMP-017 (Explore new TF 2.15+ features)	1. TensorFlow upgraded to 2.15.x in all environments 2. All unit tests pass 3. Model predictions bit-exact match 2.8 (validation set) 4. Performance metrics within 0.1% (accuracy)			Tested in dev environment, models load successfully. Security team requesting completion by end Q2 for compliance.
IMP-006	Extend fraud model to international markets	Expand fraud detection model to handle international (non-US) transactions for 50+ countries. Introduces currency conversion features, international merchant category mapping, country-specific fraud patterns. Enables \$50M+ international market expansion.	New Feature/Capability	P4	3.25	Open	XXL (4-6 months)	\$50,000,000	Unassigned	TBD	2024-02-15	2024-03-15	Strategic Initiative	Blocked by: Product international launch decision Blocks: IMP-023 (Country-specific optimization)	1. International exports target countries (CA, UK, AU, DE, FR initially) 2. Currency conversion features implemented 3. International merchant category mapping complete 4. Recall >80% on international test set			International launch under discussion, targeting Q4 2024 for CA/UK. Product will confirm by end Q2. Marked P4 until launch confirmed, then will become P1.

PRIORITY SCORE CALCULATOR

Interactive tool for objective, data-driven prioritization

HOW TO USE THIS CALCULATOR

1. Enter the Item ID and Title from your backlog item
2. Score each of the 5 criteria from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) using the guidance provided
3. The calculator automatically computes the weighted priority score
4. Use the final score to assign priority: P1 (≥ 4.5), P2 (3.5-4.49), P3 (2.5-3.49), P4 (<2.5)
5. Copy the Priority Score and Priority Level back to the Backlog Items sheet

 **TIP:** Use this calculator consistently for all items to ensure objective, comparable prioritization

ITEM DETAILS				
Item ID:	Item Title:			
SCORING CRITERIA				
Criterion	Weight	Your Score (1-5)	Weighted Score	Scoring Guidance
Business Value	0.35		0.00	5: >\$500K/year or >10% improvement 4: \$100K-\$500K or 5-10% 3: \$25K-\$100K or 2-5% 2: \$5K-\$25K or 1-2% 1: <\$5K or <1%
Effort (Lower = Higher Score)	0.25		0.00	5: XS (1-3 days) 4: S (1 week) 3: M (2-4 weeks) 2: L (1-2 months) 1: XL/XXL (2+ months)
Strategic Alignment	0.20		0.00	5: Critical for strategic OKR 4: Strong alignment with strategy 3: Moderate alignment 2: Weak alignment 1: No strategic relevance
Urgency / Risk	0.15		0.00	5: Critical issue causing problems now 4: High risk if not addressed this quarter 3: Moderate risk, address within 6 months 2: Low risk, 6-12 months acceptable 1: No time pressure
Feasibility	0.05		0.00	5: Proven approach, low risk 4: Well-understood, moderate confidence 3: Some unknowns, needs investigation 2: Significant unknowns, high risk 1: Experimental, very uncertain
TOTAL PRIORITY SCORE		0.00	(out of 5.00)	

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY	P4 - Low
----------------------	----------

PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT RANGES		
P1 - Critical	Score \geq 4.5	Must do this quarter - highest business value, strategic importance, or urgent need
P2 - High	Score 3.5 - 4.49	Should do this quarter if capacity available - significant value, good alignment
P3 - Medium	Score 2.5 - 3.49	Plan for next quarter - moderate value, can wait 3-6 months
P4 - Low	Score $<$ 2.5	Future backlog - low value or high effort, revisit in future planning

EXAMPLE CALCULATION

EXAMPLE: "Add behavioral velocity features to fraud model"

Business Value: 4 (Expected \$150K/year from 4% recall improvement)
 $\rightarrow 4 \times 0.35 = 1.40$

Effort: 4 (S - 1 week, ~40 hours - relatively quick win)
 $\rightarrow 4 \times 0.25 = 1.00$

Strategic Alignment: 5 (Critical for FY24 fraud reduction OKR)
 $\rightarrow 5 \times 0.20 = 1.00$

Urgency: 3 (Moderate - fraud rates acceptable but could improve)
 $\rightarrow 3 \times 0.15 = 0.45$

Feasibility: 5 (Proven features, low technical risk)
 $\rightarrow 5 \times 0.05 = 0.25$

QUARTERLY PLANNING DASHBOARD

Capacity planning, commitment tracking, and velocity analysis

CURRENT QUARTER OVERVIEW

Current Quarter: **Q2 2024** Team Capacity (**480**)

CAPACITY PLANNING

Priority	# Items	Est. Hours	% of Total	Status
P1 - Critical	1	240	50%	In Progress
P2 - High	3	200	42%	Planned
P3 - Medium	0	0	0%	Not Planned
P4 - Low	0	0	0%	Not Planned
TOTAL COMMITTED	4	440	92%	
AVAILABLE CAPACITY		40	8%	

Capacity Utilization: ✖ Over-committed

ITEMS BY QUARTER

Quarter	P1 Items	P2 Items	P3 Items	P4 Items	Total Items	Est. Hours
Q1 2024	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q2 2024	1	3	0	0	4	440
Q3 2024	0	2	1	0	3	280
Q4 2024	0	0	0	1	1	400
TBD	0	0	0	0	0	0

IMPROVEMENT TYPE BALANCE (70-20-10 RULE)

Type	# Items	% Actual	% Target	Status
Performance & Features	4	67%	70%	✓ Balanced
Technical Debt	1	17%	20%	✓ Balanced
Innovation/Research	1	17%	10%	✓ Balanced

VELOCITY TRACKING (Items Completed per Quarter)				
Quarter	Items Committed	Items Completed	Completion Rate	Velocity
Q4 2023	5	4	80%	4
Q1 2024	6	5	83%	5
Q2 2024 (Current)	4	1	25%	In Progress
Q3 2024 (Planned)	3	0		

Average Velocity (last 2 quarters): **4.5**

BACKLOG HEALTH METRICS DASHBOARD

Monitor overall backlog health, age, completion rates, and value delivered

Overall Backlog Health				
Health Score:		✓ HEALTHY		Last Updated: 2024-03-20
Key Metrics Summary				
Total Active Items		6	Items currently in backlog	
Items In Progress		1	Active work ongoing	
Completion Rate (Q2)		25%	1 of 4 committed items complete	
Average Item Age		32 days	Time since items were added	
Stale Items (>90 days)		0	Items not updated in 90+ days	
Items by Status				
Status	Count	% of Total	Health Indicator	
Open	5	83%	✓ Normal	
In Progress	1	17%	✓ Normal	
Blocked	0	0%	✓ No Blockers	
Complete	0	0%	Add to completed section	
Deferred	0	0%	✓ No Deferred	
Cancelled	0	0%	✓ No Cancelled	
Priority Distribution				
Priority	Count	% of Total	Target Range	Status
P1 - Critical	1	17%	10-15%	⚠ Too Many P1
P2 - High	3	50%	20-25%	⚠ Review
P3 - Medium	1	17%	30-35%	Review Distribution
P4 - Low	1	17%	30-40%	Review Distribution

IMPROVEMENT TYPE DISTRIBUTION

Type	Count	% of Total
Performance Enhancement	2	33%
New Feature/Capability	1	17%
Cost Optimization	0	0%
Technical Debt	1	17%
Operational Improvement	1	17%
User Experience	1	17%
Scalability	0	0%
Compliance/Governance	0	0%

ITEM AGE ANALYSIS

Age Range	Count	% of Total	Health Indicator
< 30 days (Fresh)	3	50%	✓ Recent additions
30-60 days	2	33%	✓ Normal age
60-90 days	1	17%	⚠ Getting old
> 90 days (Stale)	0	0%	✓ No stale items

VALUE DELIVERED (COMPLETED ITEMS)

Quarter	Items Completed	Expected Value	Actual Value
Q4 2023	4	\$425,000	\$380,000
Q1 2024	5	\$550,000	\$520,000
Q2 2024 (To Date)	1	\$150,000	\$145,000
Total	10	\$1,125,000	\$1,045,000

Value Realization Rate:

93%

93% of expected value delivered

HEALTH INDICATORS

Priority Distribution	✓ Healthy	P1/P2/P3/P4 distribution within normal ranges
-----------------------	-----------	---

Type Balance	✓ Balanced	70-20-10 rule maintained (66% features, 17% debt, 17% innovation)
Capacity Utilization	✓ Optimal	92% capacity committed for Q2 (good utilization)
Staleness	✓ Good	No items >90 days old without updates
Blocked Items	✓ Excellent	Zero blocked items
Value Realization	✓ Strong	93% value realization rate on completed items